Detector Development for the

with focus on Calorimeters



Roman Pöschl LAL Orsay IRTG Fall School Heidelberg Germany 4.-8. October 2006



- Part I : Introduction to the Physics of Particle Detectors
- Part II : Detector Concepts and the Concept of Particle Flow Part III: Calorimetry R&D for the ILC



Part I

Introduction to the Physics of Particle Detectors

Outline

- Interactions of Particles with Matter
- Gaseous Detectors (very brief!)
- Shower Counters
 - Electromagnetic Counters
 - Hadronic Counters
- Detectors based on Semi-Conductors

Interactions of Particles with Matter

Outgoing Particle (p'_u) Incoming Particle (p_u)

Scattering Center: Nucleus or Atomic Shell

Detection Process is based on Scattering of particles while passing detector material

Energy loss of incoming particle: $\Delta E = p_0 - p'_0$

Energy Loss of Charged Particles in Matter Regard: Particles with $m_0 >> m_e$

 $\Delta E = 0$: Rutherford Scattering

 $\Delta E \neq 0$: Leads to Bethe-Bloch Formula

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi N_A r_e^2 m_e c^2 z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \gamma^2 \beta^2 T_{\text{max}}}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right]$$

z - Charge of incoming particle

- $\rm r_{e},\,m_{e}$ Classical electron radius and electron mass
- N_A Avogadro's Number = 6.022x10²³ Mol⁻¹
 - Ionisation Constant, characterizes Material typical values 15 eV
- δ Fermi's density correction
- T_{max} maximal transferrable energy (later)

Discussion of Bethe-Bloch Formula I

Describes Energy Loss by Excitation and Ionisation !!

We do not consider lowest energy losses

'Kinematic' drop

~ $1/\beta^2$

Scattering Amplitudes:

$$f_i(\theta) \propto 1/(\vec{p} - \vec{p'})^2, (\vec{p} - \vec{p'})^2 \propto v^2$$

Large angle scattering becomes less probable with increasing energy of incoming particle.



Drop continues until $\beta\gamma \sim 4$

Discussion of Bethe-Bloch Formula II Minimal Ionizing Particles (MIPS)

dE/dx passes broad Minimum @ $\beta \gamma \approx 4$

Contributions from Energy losses start to dominate kinematic dependency of cross sections

typical	l values in Minimum 💾	
	[MeV/(g/cm ²)]	[MeV/cm
Lead	1.13	20.66
Steel	1.51	11.65
02	1.82	2.6·10 ⁻³



Role of Minimal Ionizing Particles ?

Intermezzo: Minimal Ionizing Particles

Minimal Ionizing Particles deposit a well defined energy in an absorber Typical Value: 2 MeV/(g/cm²)

Cosmic-Ray μ have roughly $\beta\gamma\approx 4~\Rightarrow$ Ideal Source for Detector Calibration



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Cosmic} \ \mu \ \text{spectrum} \ at \\ \text{Sea} \ \text{Level} \end{array}$

Rate ~ 1/(dm²sec.)



Cosmic Muons detected in ILC Calorimeter Prototype

Signals show Landau-Distribution: $\Delta E_{Average}$ (à la Bethe-Bloch) $\neq \Delta E_{Real}$ Typical for thin absorbers

Thick Absorbers: $\Delta E_{\text{Average}} = \Delta E_{\text{Real}}$ Landau Distribution \rightarrow Gauss-Distribution

Discussion of Bethe-Bloch Formula III

Logarithmic Rise

Anderson-Ziegler

'Visible' Consequence of Excitation and Ionization Interactions. Dominate over kinematic drop

Interesting question: Energy distribution of electrons created by Ionization.

δ-Electrons





Stopping Power [MeV cm²/g]

100

01 Lindhard-Scharff

0.001

0.1

Nuclear

Losses

0.01

0.1

10

[MeV/c]

1

100



In the relativistic case an incoming particle can transfer (nearly) its whole energy to an electron of the Absorber These δ -electrons themselves can ionize the absorber !

100

10

[GeV/c]

µ[−] on Cu

Bethe Bloch

10

Muon Momentum

βγ

Radiative

104

11

Radiative Losses

Without δ

 10^{5}

10

[TeV/c]

106

100

 $E_{\mu c}$

1000

100

Discussion of Bethe-Bloch Formula IV Radiative Losses - Not included in Bethe-Bloch Formula



Bremsstrahlung

Important for e.g. Muons with E > 100 GeV Dominant energy loss process for electrons (and positrons) Detailed discussion later

Interactions of Photons with Matter

General: Beer's Absorption Law: $I = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$, $\mu = Absorption coefficient^{I}$



Three main processes

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{Photoeffect}: \ \sigma_{Phot.} \ \gamma + Atom \rightarrow Atom^{+} + e^{-} \\ E_{\gamma} >> m_{e}c^{2} \ \sigma_{Phot.} = 2\pi r_{e}^{2}\alpha^{4}Z^{5}\frac{mc^{2}}{E_{\gamma}} \\ I_{0} << E_{\gamma} << m_{e}c^{2} \ \sigma_{Phot.} = \alpha\pi a_{B}^{2}Z^{5} \left(\frac{I_{0}}{E_{\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \end{array}$

 $a_B = Bohr radius, r_e = class. Electronradius$

Compton Effect: $\sigma_{coh.}$, $\sigma_{incoh.}$ $\gamma+e^- \rightarrow \gamma+e^-$ Klein-Nishina:

$$E_{\gamma} \ll m_{e}c^{2} \quad \sigma_{c} = \frac{8\pi}{3}r_{e}^{2}\left(1 - \frac{2E_{\gamma}}{mc^{2}}\right)$$

$$[MeV] \quad E_{\gamma} \gg m_{e}c^{2} \quad \sigma_{c} = \pi r_{e}^{2}\frac{mc^{2}}{E_{\gamma}}\left\{\ln\left(\frac{2E_{\gamma}}{mc^{2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\right\}$$

Pair Production Process



Bremsstrahlungs Process

Energy Loss for High Energetic electrons (and muons)

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -4Z^2 \frac{L\rho}{A} \alpha r_e^2 E_e \ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} = -\frac{E_e}{X_0}$$

Molière Radius R_M:

$$R_{M} = \frac{21MeV}{\varepsilon_{c}} X_{0}$$

Transversal deflection of e- after passing X_0 due to multiple scattering

 $\varepsilon_{c} = critical Energy$

Energy where energy losses due to ionization excitation start to dominate

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Typical Values for Pb:} \\ $\epsilon_c[MeV]$ R_M[cm] \\ \mbox{Pb}$ 7.2 1.6 \\ \mbox{NaJ}$ 12.5 4.4 \\ \end{array}$

 X_0 , R_M and ε_c are most important characteristics of electromagnetic shower counters

Gaseous Detectors



Classical Application:

Track Finding of charged particles R&D for employment as sensitive device for Calorimeters (this lecture)

Operation Modes of Gaseous Detectors



Calorimetry

Basic Principle: High energetic particle is stopped in a dense absorber. Kinetic energy is transferred into detectable signal



- Only way to measure to measure electrically neutral particles
- Only way to measure particles at high energies (although ... see later)

Need to distinguish: Electromagnetic Calorimeters Hadronic Calorimeters

Creation and readout of detectable Signals ?

Electromagnetic Calorimeters - Shower Development

Energy loss of electron by Bremsstrahlung: Photons convert into e⁺e⁻-Pairs



$$X_{0} = \frac{180 A}{Z^{2}} [g / cm^{2}] \quad L(95\%) = \ln \frac{E_{0}}{\varepsilon_{c}} + 0.08Z + 9.6[X_{0}]$$

$$\varepsilon_{c} = \frac{550 MeV}{Z} \qquad R(95\%) = 2R_{M}$$

$$t_{MAX} = \ln \left(\frac{E_{0}}{\varepsilon_{c}}\right) - \{ {}^{1}_{0.5} \text{ for } e \text{ induced showers} \atop \text{for } \gamma \text{ induced showers} \end{cases}$$

Roman Pöschl IRT
Heidelberg Germa

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{E_e}{X_0} \Longrightarrow E = E_0 e^{-x/X_0}$$

- Energy Loss after $X_0: E_1 = E_0/2$
- Photons -> materialize after X_0 $E_{\pm}=E_1/2$

Number of particles after t: $N(t) = 2^t$ Each Particle has energy

$$E = \frac{E_0}{N(t)} = E_0 2^{-t} \Longrightarrow t = \ln\left(\frac{E_0}{E}\right) / \ln 2$$

Shower continues until particles reach critical energy (see p. 16) where $t_{max} = ln(E_0/\epsilon_c)$

Shower Maximum increases logarithmically with Energy of primary particle (Important for detector design !!!)

(Electromagnetic) Calorimeter - Classical Readout

Example: Sampling Calorimeters Homogenous Calorimeters \rightarrow Homework



Only sample of shower passes active medium Production of shower particles is statistical process with N (t) ~ E $\Rightarrow \sigma(E) \sim \sqrt{E}$

Indeed e.g. BEMC (H1 detector): $\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1.7\%$

Alternating structure of Absorber and Scintillation medium Light is generated by charged particles with E < ϵ_c



Hadronic Showers

Hadronic Showers are dominated by strong interaction !

 $p + Nucleus \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 + \dots + Nucleus^*$



Further Reading: R. Wigman et al. NIM A252 (1986) 4 R. Wigman NIM A259 (1987) 389

Comparison Electromagnetic Shower - Hadronic Shower hadronic Shower elm. Shower





Characterized by Radiation Length: $X_0 \propto \frac{A}{Z^2}$ $R_M \propto \frac{21MeV}{\varepsilon} \bullet X_0$ $\frac{\lambda_{\text{int}}}{X_0} = \frac{A^{1/3}Z^2}{A} \propto A^{4/3} \Rightarrow \qquad \text{Size}_{\text{Hadronic Showers}} >> \text{Size}_{\text{elm. Showers}}$

Characterized by Interaction Length: $\lambda_{int} = \frac{A}{\sigma A^{2/3} I.0} \propto A^{1/3}$

Response to energy depositions

Important Relation:



e.g. Non linear response to hadrons since $f_{em} \sim ln(E/1~GeV)$ with a considerable fluctuation of f_{em}

Goal for detector planning: $e/mip = h/mip \Leftrightarrow S(e) = S(h)$

$$\frac{h_i}{mip} = f_{ion} \frac{ion}{mip} + f_n \frac{n}{mip} + f_\gamma \frac{\gamma}{mip} + f_B \frac{b}{mip}$$



Binding energy "lost" for signal can be <u>compensated</u> by detecting neutrons

h/mip increases if e.g. n/mip and/or $f_{\rm n}$ is

increased

Roman Pöschl IRTG Fall School Heidelberg Germany Oct. 2006

- f_{ion}: hadronic component of hadronic shower which is deposited by by charged particles
- f_n: fraction deposited by neutrons
- f_{γ} : fraction of energy deposited by nuclei γ
- f_B: fraction of binding energy of hadronic component

f_{em}

S(e), S(h)

- Signal created by electron, hadron
- e/mip (h_i/mip) = Visible energy deposition of electrons (hadrons) normalized to energy deposition by mip
 - Electromagnetic Component of hadronic shower

Compensation Calorimetry

Goal:
$$\frac{e}{mip} = \frac{h}{mip}$$

Software Weighting

Correct energy response 'offline'

reduce e/mip →Apply small weight to signal component induced by electromagnetic part of hadronic shower



Hardware Weighting

e.g. increase number of neutrons by selecting absorber with high $Z \Rightarrow$ falling Z/A

⇒Higher neutron yield in nuclear reactions

Need to detect n ⇒Choose active medium with high fraction of hydrogen

22

Detectors Based on Semi Conduction

Employed in: High Precision Gamma Spectroscopy Measurement of charged particles with E < 1 MeV

> Vertex finding, I.e. determining the interaction of a high energy reaction General: "Pixelization" detectors Application in Calorimetry see later

Takes relatively small energy deposition to create a signal

Comparison: O(100 eV) to create a γ -quant in a scintillator 3.6 eV to create a electron-hole pair in Silicium

Principle of Particle Detection



Ionization of the detector material - Bethe Bloch

Charge Collection in an electrical field

(E-Field extends over depletion zone, capacitance)

Electronic Amplification and measurement of the signal

Number of charges is proportional to deposited energy

Segmentation of electrodes allows for high spatial resolution

à la Lutz Feld

Roman Pöschl IRTG Fall School Heidelberg Germany Oct. 2006

Universität Freiburg

Spatial Resolution - µ Strip Detector



MIP creates roughly 80 e/hole pairs \Rightarrow Q = 24000 e- in 300µm Si

300 µ ⇒Application of electrostatical model since Collection Time 20 ns Integration Time 120 ns Losses by Capture 1ms S

Spatial Resolution: typ. 15µm

Simple Model: Signals only on neighbored strips Readout current those of a capacitance



Silicon sensors for ILC electromagnetic Calorimeter

- 4" High resistive wafer : 5 KΩcm
- ♦ Thickness : 525 microns ± 3 %
- ♦ Tile side : 62.0 + 0.0 0.1 mm
- ♦ 1 set of guard rings per matrix
- ♦ In Silicon ~80 e-h pairs / micron \Rightarrow 42000 e⁻ /MiP
- ♦ Capacitance : ~21 pF (one pixel)
- ♦ Leakage current @ 200 V : < 300 nA (Full matrix)
- ♦ Full depletion bias : ~150 V
- Nominal operating bias : 200 V
- Sreak down voltage : > 300 V





Important point : manufacturing must be as simple as possible to be near of what could be the real production for full scale detector in order to :

- Keep lower price (a minimum of step during processing)
- Low rate of rejected processed wafer
- good reliability and large robustness

Wafers passivation Compatible with a thermal cooking at 40° for 12H, while gluing the pads for electrical contact with the glue we use (conductive glue with silver).